

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,449	11/09/2005	Isabelle Poquet	1169-034	3827
20529 7590 07/07/2009 THE NATH LAW GROUP			EXAMINER	
112 South Wes	st Street	MARVICH, MARIA		
Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1633	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/525,449	POQUET ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
MARIA B. MARVICH	1633	

37 GFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment document	to be compilant, correction of the following item(s) is required
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AME 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include mart B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined C. Other	kings.
2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFF B. Other	₹ 1.72.
"Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR B. The practice of submitting proposed drawir	the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or 1.121(d). ng correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings is, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required.
C. Each claim has not been provided with the of each claim cannot be identified. Note: I number by using one of the following statu (Previously presented), (New), (Not entere	present. ext of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim sidentifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), d), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). not been presented in ascending numerical order.
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or not signal	gned in accordance with 37 CFR 1.4):
For further explanation of the amendment format required by	37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714.
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:	
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-complic filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 	ant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendmen non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the
correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the (including a submission for a request for continued exam amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CF	FR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a d, the correction required is only the corrected section of the
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.13 amendment or an amendment filed in response to a G	6(a) <u>only</u> if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final Quayle action.
filed in response to a Quayle action; or	ant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental
/Maria B Marvich/	1
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633	

⁻⁻ The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -The amendment document filed on ______ is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the requirements of

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-324) Application No. 10/525,449

Continuation of 4(e) Other. Clam 13 indicates that it is previously presented, however, amendment has been made to delete the phrase "in which TATAAT represents the -10 box of said promoter" without markings.

As well, the objections to the claims and rejections under 35 USC 112, first paragraph made in the office action mailed 10/708 have not been addressed in the repsonse mailed 47/709. For example, the objection to claim 16 and 18 for reference to a previous claim using the article 'an' as opposed to 'the' has not been addressed, by amendment or argument. Similarly, the objection to claims i.e. 20 for recitation of at least one has not been addressed. Recommendation has been made to use the article 'the' as opposed to 'taleast one'. Claim 15 has been objected to as being a duplicate of claim 12, however, applicants have not addressed this objection. Finally, the rejection under 35 USC 112, first paragraph has not been addressed.